

1 **WO**

2  
3  
4  
5  
6 IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
7 FOR THE DISTRICT OF ARIZONA

8 United States of America,  
9  
10 Plaintiff,

No. CR-11-1154-PHX-DGC

**ORDER**

11 vs.

12 Alberto Herrera-Arteaga,  
13 Defendant.

14 Defendant Alberto Herrera-Arteaga filed a pro se motion to run the time from his  
15 probation concurrent with the sentence imposed by this Court. Doc. 26. On May 10,  
16 2011, the Court appointed attorney Atmore Baggot to represent Mr. Herrera-Arteaga.  
17 Local Rule 83.3(c)(2) requires that “[w]hen a party has appeared by an attorney, that  
18 party cannot thereafter appear or act in the party’s own behalf in the cause, or take any  
19 steps therein, unless an order of substitution shall have been made by the Court after  
20 notice to the attorney of each such party, and to the opposite party.” LRCiv. 83.3(c)(2).  
21 Because the Court finds that Mr. Herrera-Arteaga is still represented by counsel, the  
22 Court will deny Defendant’s motion and forward it to his attorney for consideration.

23 **IT IS ORDERED** that Defendant’s motion for concurrent sentence (Doc. 26) is  
24 denied. Defendant is instructed to confer with his attorney if he wishes to pursue this  
25 action.

26 Dated this 23rd day of February, 2012.

27  
28 

---

David G. Campbell  
United States District Judge